A Fluid Flow Data Set for Machine Learning and its Application to Neural Flow Map Interpolation – Additional Material

Jakob Jakob, Markus Gross, and Tobias Günther

1 PERIODIC RANDOM VECTOR FIELDS

Kim et al. [3] demonstrated how Wavelet Noise [1] is used to augment a fluid flow with more turbulence. Algorithm 1 contains our adaption of their multiband sampling algorithm. In our work, the flow is periodic and is sampled from a noise field by using quartic B-spline interpolation. At point (x, y) the function sum_octaves sums the co-gradient of the wavelet noise field *data* with resolution $n \times n$ from band b_{min} to b_{max} . We refer to the appendix of Cook and DeRose [1] for the computation of the wavelet noise field *data*, which we synthesize for each band.

2 VISUALIZATION OF ERROR AND FTLE FOR VARYING INTE-GRATION DURATIONS

The upsampling of flow maps becomes more difficult the longer the flow maps are traced. In the following, we provide more results for varying integration durations and we deliver more quantitative evaluations for MSE and PSNR for the $4 \times$ upsampling methods. Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 visualize the error residuals and the FTLE values for $2 \times$ and $4 \times$, respectively. As in the main paper, we apply cubic upsampling, SRCNN [2] and ESPCN [4]. We can see that ESPCN at $2 \times$ upsampling performed very well, whereas artifacts occur in laminar parts of the domain for $4 \times$ upsampling.

REFERENCES

- R. L. Cook and T. DeRose. Wavelet noise. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 24(3):803–811, 2005.
- [2] C. Dong, C. C. Loy, K. He, and X. Tang. Image super-resolution using deep convolutional networks. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 38(2):295–307, 2015.
- [3] T. Kim, N. Thürey, D. James, and M. Gross. Wavelet turbulence for fluid simulation. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 27(3):50, 2008.
- [4] W. Shi, J. Caballero, F. Huszár, J. Totz, A. P. Aitken, R. Bishop, D. Rueckert, and Z. Wang. Real-time single image and video super-resolution using an efficient sub-pixel convolutional neural network. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1874–1883, 2016.

 J. Jakob, M. Gross, and T. Günther are with ETH Zurich, Switzerland. E-mail: jakob|grossm|tobias.guenther@inf.ethz.ch.

Manuscript received xx xxx. 201x; accepted xx xxx. 201x. Date of Publication xx xxx. 201x; date of current version xx xxx. 201x. For information on obtaining reprints of this article, please send e-mail to: reprints@ieee.org. Digital Object Identifier: xx.xxx/TVCG.201x.xxxxxxx

Algorithm 1: Compute periodic stream function by summing wavelet noise bands that were sampled with quartic B-splines.

Function quartic_b_spline(double t, double *w):

$$\begin{split} & w[0] \leftarrow t^4/24, \\ & w[1] \leftarrow (-4t^4 + 4t^3 + 6t^2 + 4t + 1)/24 \\ & w[2] \leftarrow (6t^4 - 12t^3 - 6t^2 + 12t + 11)/24 \\ & w[3] \leftarrow (-4t^4 + 12t^3 - 6t^2 - 12t + 11)/24 \\ & w[4] \leftarrow (1-t)^4/24 \end{split}$$

Function quartic_b_spline_dt(double t, double *w):

 $w[0] \leftarrow t^3/6$ $w[1] \leftarrow (-4t^3 + 3t^2 + 3t + 1)/6$ $w[2] \leftarrow (6t^3 - 9t^2 - 3t + 3)/6$ $w[3] \leftarrow (-4t^3 + 9t^2 - 3t - 3)/6$ $w[4] \leftarrow -(1 - t)^3/24$

Function eval(*int* i_x , *int* i_y , *double* $*w_0$, *double* $*w_1$, *int* k, *int* n, *double* *data):

 $\begin{array}{c} \textit{result} \leftarrow 0 \\ \textbf{for } j \leftarrow -2 \ \textbf{to} \ 2 \ \textbf{do} \\ \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{for } i \leftarrow -2 \ \textbf{to} \ 2 \ \textbf{do} \\ \\ c_i \leftarrow \mathsf{mod}(i_x + i, k), \quad c_j \leftarrow \mathsf{mod}(i_y + j, k) \\ \\ \textit{result} \leftarrow \textit{result} + w_0[i + 2] \cdot w_1[j + 2] \cdot data[c_j \cdot n + c_i] \end{array} \end{array}$

return result

Function dw_dx(*double x, double y, int k, int n, double *data*):

Function dw_dy (*double x, double y, int k, int n, double *data*):

```
 \begin{array}{ll} i_x \leftarrow \texttt{ceil}(x-0.5), & i_y \leftarrow \texttt{ceil}(y-0.5) \\ \texttt{double} \; w_x[5], w_y[5] \\ \texttt{quartic.b.spline} \; (i_x - x + 0.5, w_x) \\ \texttt{quartic.b.spline.dt} \; (i_y - y + 0.5, w_y) \\ \texttt{return eval} \; (i_x, i_y, w_x, w_y, k, n, data) \end{array}
```

Function sum_octaves(double x, double y, int b_{min} , int b_{max} , int n, double** data):

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{vel} \leftarrow (0,0) \\ \textit{for } b \leftarrow b_{\textit{min}} \textit{ to } b_{\textit{max}} \textit{ do} \\ \\ \\ \\ k \leftarrow \textit{pow}(2,b) \\ \textit{vel} \leftarrow \textit{vel} + (-\textit{dw_dy}(x \cdot k, y \cdot k, k, n, \textit{data}[b]) \cdot 2^{-\frac{5}{6}(b-b_{\textit{min}})}, \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \textit{dw_dx}(x \cdot k, y \cdot k, k, n, \textit{data}[b]) \cdot 2^{-\frac{5}{6}(b-b_{\textit{min}})}) \\ \\ \textit{return vel} \end{array}$



Fig. 1: Error maps and FTLE comparisons in our simulated flow #2000 for different integration durations τ . Here, for a 2× upsampling.



Fig. 2: Error maps and FTLE comparisons in our simulated flow #2000 for different integration durations τ . Here, for a 4× upsampling.