


Machine Learning: Topic Chart

- Core problems of pattern recognition
- Bayesian decision theory
- *Perceptrons and support vector machines*
- Data clustering
- Dimension reduction

Linear Classification



Generalized Linear Discriminant Functions

Linear Discriminant Functions can be written as


$$g(x) = w_0 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} w_i x_i = (w_0, w)(1, x)^T =: a^T y.$$

with generalized coordinates $y = (1, x)^T$, $a = (w_0, w)^T$.

Note that the generalized separating hyperplanes contain the origin of the y -space!

Quadratic Discriminant Functions have the form

$$g(x) = w_0 + \sum_{i \leq d} w_i x_i + \sum_{i \leq d} \sum_{j \leq d} w_{ij} x_i x_j.$$




The quadratic map $y = (1, x, x^2)^T$ transforms a line in a parabola in three dimensions. A planar split in y -space corresponds to a partitioning in x -space which is not simply connected.

Linear Separable Two Class Case

Linear Separability:


$$\exists (w_0, w)^T \text{ with } \begin{cases} w^T x_i + w_0 > 0 & \text{for } y_i = 1 \\ w^T x_i + w_0 < 0 & \text{for } y_i = 2 \end{cases}$$



Problem: The solution vector is not unique!

The Margin Idea in the Linear Separable Two Class Case

Idea: Introduce a *margin* m to classify data with a “safe distance” from the decision boundary, i.e., $z_i(w^T x_i + w_0) \geq m > 0$.
Regularization of classifier!



The Perceptron Criterion

(in generalized coordinates $y = (1, x)^T$, $a = (w_0, w)^T$)

Problem: Solve the inequalities $a^T y_i > 0, \forall i$

Criterion Functions: $J(a; y_1, \dots, y_n) = \dots$

- ... number of misclassified samples: poor choice since J is piecewise constant! No gradient!
- ... sum of violating projections.

Perceptron Criterion:

$$J_p(a) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} (-a^T y)$$


\mathcal{Y} is the set of misclassified samples.

Perceptron Rule: $\Rightarrow a(k+1) = a(k) + \eta(k) \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} y$

Perceptron Algorithm (Batch Version)

Require: initialize $a, \theta, \eta(\cdot)$


- 1: $k \leftarrow 0$
- 2: **repeat**
- 3: $a \leftarrow a + \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \eta(k) y$
- 4: $k \leftarrow k + 1$
- 5: **until** $|\eta(k) \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} y| < \theta$



Fixed-Increment Single Sample Perceptron

Require: initialize $a, k \leftarrow 0$

- 1: **repeat**
- 2: $k \leftarrow (k + 1) \bmod n$
- 3: **if** y^k is misclassified by a **then**
- 4: $a \leftarrow a + y^k$
- 5: **end if**
- 6: **until** all patterns are correctly classified



Perceptron Convergence

Theorem: If the training samples are linearly separable, then the sequence of weight vectors $a \leftarrow a + y^k$ will terminate at a solution vector.

Proof: Let \hat{a} be a solution vector, i.e., $\hat{a}^T y_i > 0, \forall i$ and let $\alpha > 0$ be a scaling factor. Then it holds:

$$\begin{aligned} a(k+1) - \alpha \hat{a} &= a(k) - \alpha \hat{a} + y^k \\ \Rightarrow \|a(k+1) - \alpha \hat{a}\|^2 &= \|a(k) - \alpha \hat{a}\|^2 + 2(a(k) - \alpha \hat{a})^T y^k + \|y^k\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since y^k was misclassified the inequality $a^T(k) y^k \leq 0$ holds.

$$\Rightarrow \|a(k+1) - \alpha \hat{a}\|^2 \leq \|a(k) - \alpha \hat{a}\|^2 - 2 \underbrace{\alpha \hat{a}^T y^k}_{>0} + \|y^k\|^2$$

$\hat{a}^T y^k$ dominates $\|y^k\|^2$ for sufficiently large α .

Defs.: $\beta^2 := \max_i \|y_i\|^2, \gamma := \min_i (\hat{a}^T y_i) > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \|a(k+1) - \alpha \hat{a}\|^2 &\leq \|a(k) - \alpha \hat{a}\|^2 - 2\alpha\gamma + \beta^2 \\ &= \|a(k) - \alpha \hat{a}\|^2 - \beta^2 \text{ for } \alpha = \beta^2/\gamma \end{aligned}$$

The algorithm converges since $\|a(k+1) - \alpha \hat{a}\|^2$ decreases at least by the constant β^2 and every error will be corrected. \square

Bound on the Number of Update Steps:


$$\begin{aligned} \|a(k+1) - \alpha \hat{a}\|^2 &\leq \|a(1) - \alpha \hat{a}\|^2 - k\beta^2 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow k_0 &= \frac{\|a(1) - \alpha \hat{a}\|^2}{\beta^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Choose } a(1) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow k_0 = \frac{\alpha^2 \|\hat{a}\|^2}{\beta^2} = \frac{\beta^2 \|\hat{a}\|^2}{\gamma^2} = \frac{\max_i \|y_i\|^2 \|\hat{a}\|^2}{\min_i (\hat{a}^T y_i)^2}$$

Remark: Examples orthogonal to the solution vector are difficult to learn!

Limitations of Single-Layer Perceptrons

(Minsky & Pappert 1969)




Theorem: A size limited perceptron cannot decide in all cases if parts of a figure are connected or separate.

Proof: The problem is reduced to the XOR problem which is not linearly separable.

ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are detectors which recognize vertical bars in the upper left and right corner.

Truth Table for the connectivity problem.


ϕ_1	ϕ_2	y
1	1	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
0	0	0



Simple (single layer) perceptrons can be trained efficiently since classification errors can be “blamed” to components of the weight vector \mathbf{a} in a direct way. *Credit Assignment!*

Support Vector Machine (SVM)


Extending the perceptron idea: use a **linear classifier with margin** and a **non-linear feature transformation**.



Nonlinear Transformation in Kernel Space

$$\Phi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (z_1, z_2, z_3) := (x_1^2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_2, x_2^2)$$



Lagrangian Optimization Theory

Optimization under constraints (Primal Problem):

Given an optimization problem with domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize } f(\mathbf{w}), && \mathbf{w} \in \Omega \\ &\text{subject to } g_i(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0, && i = 1, \dots, k \\ & && h_i(\mathbf{w}) = 0, && i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

The **generalized Lagrangian function** is defined as

$$L(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = f(\mathbf{w}) + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i g_i(\mathbf{w}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i h_i(\mathbf{w})$$

Kuhn-Tucker Conditions (1951)

Theorem: Given an optimization problem with convex domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize } f(\mathbf{w}), && \mathbf{w} \in \Omega \\ &\text{subject to } g_i(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0, && i = 1, \dots, k \\ & && h_i(\mathbf{w}) = 0, && i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

with $f \in C^1$ convex and g_i, h_i affine, necessary and sufficient conditions for a normal point \mathbf{w}^* to be an optimum are the existence of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^*, \boldsymbol{\beta}^*$ such that

$$\frac{\partial L(\mathbf{w}^*, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^*, \boldsymbol{\beta}^*)}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{w}^*, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^*, \boldsymbol{\beta}^*)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} = 0$$

$$\alpha_i^* g_i(\mathbf{w}^*) = 0, \quad g_i(\mathbf{w}^*) \leq 0, \quad \alpha_i^* \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

Support Vector Machines (SVM)

Idea: linear classifier with margin and feature transformation.

Transformation from original feature space to nonlinear feature space.

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) \quad \text{e.g. Polynomial, Radial Basis Function, ...}$$

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^e \quad \text{with } d \ll e$$

$$z_i = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_i \text{ in class } \begin{cases} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{cases} \\ -1 & \end{cases}$$

Training vectors should be linearly separable after mapping!


Linear discriminant function:

$$g(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y} + w_0$$

Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Find hyperplane that maximizes the **margin** m with

$$z_i g(\mathbf{y}_i) = z_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y}_i + w_0) \geq m \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{y}_i \in \mathcal{Y}$$



Vectors \mathbf{y}_i with $z_i g(\mathbf{y}_i) = m$ are the **support vectors**.

Maximal Margin Classifier

Invariance: assume that the weight vector \mathbf{w} is normalized ($\|\mathbf{w}\| = 1$) since a rescaling $(\mathbf{w}, w_0) \leftarrow (\lambda \mathbf{w}, \lambda w_0), m \leftarrow \lambda m$ does not change the problem.

Condition:
$$z_i = \begin{cases} +1 & \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y}_i + w_0 \geq m \\ -1 & \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y}_i + w_0 \leq -m \end{cases} \quad \forall i$$

Objective: maximize margin m s.t. joint condition $z_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y}_i + w_0) \geq m$ is met.

Learning problem: Find \mathbf{w} with $\|\mathbf{w}\| = 1$, such that the margin m is maximized.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && m \\ & \text{subject to} && \forall \mathbf{y}_i \in \mathcal{Y} : z_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y}_i + w_0) \geq m \end{aligned}$$

SVM Learning

What is the margin m ?

Consider two points $\mathbf{y}^+, \mathbf{y}^-$ of class 1,2 which are located on both sides of the margin boundaries.

Transformation of objective:

rescaling $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{w}}{m}, w_0 \leftarrow \frac{w_0}{m} \Rightarrow$

yields the constraints


$$z_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y}_i + w_0) \geq 1$$

Margin:

$$m = \frac{1}{2\|\mathbf{w}\|}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y}^+ - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y}^-) = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$$

$$m = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{w}\|} \text{ follows from inserting } \pm(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y}^\pm + w_0) = 1$$

\Rightarrow maximizing the margin corresponds to minimizing the norm $\|\mathbf{w}\|$ for margin $m = 1$.



SVM Lagrangian

Minimize $\|\mathbf{w}\|$ for a given margin $m = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} \\ & \text{subject to} && z_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y}_i + w_0) \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Generalized Lagrange Function:

$$L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [z_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y}_i + w_0) - 1]$$

Functional and geometric margin: The problem formulation with margin $m = 1$ is called the *functional margin* setting; The original formulation refers to the *geometric margin*.

Stationarity of Lagrangian

Extremality condition:

$$\frac{\partial L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w} - \sum_{i \leq n} \alpha_i z_i \mathbf{y}_i = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{w} = \sum_{i \leq n} \alpha_i z_i \mathbf{y}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial w_0} = - \sum_{i \leq n} \alpha_i z_i = 0$$

Resubstituting $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_0} = 0$ into the Lagrangian function $L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} - \sum_{i \leq n} \alpha_i [z_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y}_i + w_0) - 1] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \leq n} \sum_{j \leq n} \alpha_i \alpha_j z_i z_j \mathbf{y}_i^T \mathbf{y}_j - \sum_{i \leq n} \sum_{j \leq n} \alpha_i \alpha_j z_i z_j \mathbf{y}_i^T \mathbf{y}_j + \sum_{i \leq n} \alpha_i \\ &= \sum_{i \leq n} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \leq n} \sum_{j \leq n} \alpha_i \alpha_j z_i z_j \mathbf{y}_i^T \mathbf{y}_j \quad (\text{note the scalar product!}) \end{aligned}$$

Dual Problem

The **Dual Problem** for support vector learning is

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && W(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n z_i z_j \alpha_i \alpha_j \mathbf{y}_i^T \mathbf{y}_j \\ & \text{subject to} && \forall i \alpha_i \geq 0 \quad \wedge \quad \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \alpha_i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The optimal hyperplane \mathbf{w}^*, w_0^* is given by

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* z_i \mathbf{y}_i, \quad w_0^* = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\min_{i: z_i=1} \mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{y}_i + \max_{i: z_i=-1} \mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{y}_i \right)$$

where α^* are the optimal Lagrange multipliers maximizing the Dual Problem.

Support Vectors

The **Kuhn-Tucker Conditions** for the maximal margin SVM are

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i^* (z_i g^*(\mathbf{y}_i) - 1) &= 0, & i = 1, \dots, n \\ \alpha_i^* &\geq 0, & i = 1, \dots, n \\ z_i g^*(\mathbf{y}_i) - 1 &\geq 0, & i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

The first one is known as the **Kuhn-Tucker complementary condition**. The conditions imply

$$\begin{aligned} z_i g^*(\mathbf{y}_i) = 1 &\Rightarrow \alpha_i^* \geq 0 && \text{Support Vectors (SV)} \\ z_i g^*(\mathbf{y}_i) \neq 1 &\Rightarrow \alpha_i^* = 0 && \text{Non Support Vectors} \end{aligned}$$

Optimal Decision Function

Sparsity:

$$\begin{aligned} g^*(\mathbf{y}) &= \mathbf{w}^{*\top} \mathbf{y} + w_0^* = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \alpha_i^* \mathbf{y}_i^\top \mathbf{y} + w_0^* \\ &= \sum_{i \in \text{SV}} z_i \alpha_i^* \mathbf{y}_i^\top \mathbf{y} + w_0^* \end{aligned}$$


Remark: only few support vectors enter the sum to evaluate the decision function! \Rightarrow efficiency and interpretability

Optimal margin: $\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w} = \sum_{i \in \text{SV}} \alpha_i^*$

Soft Margin SVM

For each training vector $\mathbf{y}_i \in \mathcal{Y}$ a **slack variable** ξ_i is introduced to measure the violation of the margin constraint.

Find hyperplane that maximizes the margin $z_i g^*(\mathbf{y}_i) \geq m(1 - \xi_i)$



Vectors \mathbf{y}_i with $z_i g^*(\mathbf{y}_i) = m(1 - \xi_i)$ are called **support vectors**.

Learning the Soft Margin SVM

Slack variables are penalized by L_1 norm.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & T(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \\ \text{subject to} \quad & z_i (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{y}_i + w_0) \geq 1 - \xi_i \\ & \xi_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

C controls the amount of constraint violations vs. margin maximization!

Lagrange function for soft margin SVM

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [z_i (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{y}_i + w_0) - 1 + \xi_i] - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \xi_i \end{aligned}$$

Stationarity of Primal Problem

Differentiation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} &= \mathbf{w} - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i z_i \mathbf{y}_i = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i z_i \mathbf{y}_i \\ \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \xi_i} &= C - \alpha_i - \beta_i = 0 \quad \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial b} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i z_i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Resubstituting into the Lagrangian function $L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [z_i (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{y}_i + w_0) - 1 + \xi_i] - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \xi_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j z_i z_j \mathbf{y}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_j + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j z_i z_j \mathbf{y}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_j \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (1 - \xi_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \xi_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j z_i z_j \mathbf{y}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_j \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{(C - \alpha_i - \beta_i)}_{\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi_i} = 0} \xi_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j z_i z_j \mathbf{y}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_j \end{aligned}$$

Constraints of the Dual Problem

The dual objective function is the same as for the maximal margin SVM. The only difference is the constraint

$$C - \alpha_i - \beta_i = 0$$

Together with $\beta_i \geq 0$ it implies

$$\alpha_i \leq C$$

The Kuhn-Tucker complementary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i(z_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{y}_i + w_0) - 1 + \xi_i) &= 0, & i = 1, \dots, n \\ \xi_i(\alpha_i - C) &= 0, & i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

imply that nonzero slack variables can only occur when $\alpha_i = C$.

Dual Problem of Soft Margin SVM

The **Dual Problem** for support vector learning is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximize } W(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n z_i z_j \alpha_i \alpha_j \mathbf{y}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_j \\ \text{subject to } \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \alpha_j &= 0 \wedge \forall i \ C \geq \alpha_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$


The optimal hyperplane \mathbf{w}^* is given by

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* z_i \mathbf{y}_i$$

where α^* are the optimal Lagrange multipliers maximizing the Dual Problem.

Only for **support vectors** it holds $\alpha_i^* > 0$

Applet HTML Page



Linear Programming Support Vector Machines

Idea: Minimize an estimate of the number of positive multipliers $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ which improves bounds on the generalization error.

The **Lagrangian** for the LP-SVM is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize } W(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \\ \text{subject to } z_i \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \mathbf{y}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_j + w_0 \right] &\geq 1 - \xi_i, \\ \alpha_i \geq 0, \xi_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n \end{aligned}$$

Advantage: efficient LP solver can be used.

Disadvantage: theory is not as well understood as for standard SVMs.

Non-Linear SVMs

Feature extraction by non linear transformation $\mathbf{y} = \phi(\mathbf{x})$

Problem:

$$\mathbf{y}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_j = \phi^\top(\mathbf{x}_i) \phi(\mathbf{x}_j)$$

is the inner product in a high dimensional space.

A **kernel function** is defined by

$$\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \in \Omega : K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \phi^\top(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\mathbf{z})$$

Using the kernel function the discriminant function becomes

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i z_i K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x})$$

Characterization of Kernels

For a symmetric matrix $K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) |_{i,j=1}^n$ (Gram matrix) there exists an EV decomposition

$$K = V \Lambda V^\top$$

V : orthogonal matrix of eigenvectors $(v_{ti}) |_{i=1}^n$

Λ : diagonal matrix of eigenvalues λ_t

Assume all eigenvalues are nonnegative and consider mapping

$$\phi : \mathbf{x}_i \rightarrow \left(\sqrt{\lambda_t} v_{ti} \right)_{t=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n, i = 1, \dots, n$$

Then it follows

$$\phi^\top(\mathbf{x}_i) \phi(\mathbf{x}_j) = \sum_{t=1}^n \lambda_t v_{ti} v_{tj} = \left(V \Lambda V^\top \right)_{ij} = K_{ij} = K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$$

Positivity of Kernels

Theorem: Let Ω be a finite input space with $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ a symmetric function on Ω . Then $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ is a kernel function if and only if the matrix

$$K = (K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j))_{i,j=1}^n$$

is *positive semi-definite* (has only non-negative eigenvalues).

Extension to infinite dimensional Hilbert Spaces:

$$\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{z}) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \phi_i(\mathbf{z})$$

Mercer's Theorem

Theorem (Mercer): Let Ω be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose K is a continuous symmetric function such that the integral operator $T_k : L_2(X) \rightarrow L_2(X)$,

$$(T_k f)(\cdot) = \int_{\Omega} K(\cdot, \mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},$$

is positive, that is

$$\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) f(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{z} > 0 \quad \forall f \in L_2(\Omega)$$

Then we can expand $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ in a uniformly convergent series in terms of T_k 's eigen-functions $\phi_j \in L_2(\Omega)$, with $\|\phi_j\|_{L_2} = 1$ and $\lambda_j > 0$.

Possible Kernels

Remark: Each kernel function, that hold Mercer's conditions describes an inner product in a high dimensional space. The kernel function replaces the inner product.

Possible Kernels:


- $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ (RBF Kernel)
- $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \tanh \kappa \mathbf{xz} - b$ (Sigmoid Kernel)
- $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = (\mathbf{xz})^d$ (Polynomial Kernel)
 $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = (\mathbf{xz} + 1)^d$
- $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$: string kernels for sequences

Kernel Engineering

Kernel composition rules: Let K_1, K_2 be kernels over $\Omega \times \Omega, \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d, a \in \mathbb{R}^+, f(\cdot)$ a real-valued function $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^e$ with K_3 a kernel over $\mathbb{R}^e \times \mathbb{R}^e$.


Then the following functions are kernels:

- $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = K_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) + K_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$,
- $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = aK_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$,
- $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = K_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})K_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$,
- $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{z})$,
- $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = K_3(\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{z}))$,
- $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = p(K_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}))$, ($p(x)$ is a polynomial with positive coefficients)
- $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \exp(K_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}))$,



Example: Hand Written Digit Recognition

- 7291 training images und 2007 test images (16x16 pixel, 256 gray values)



Classification method	test error
human classification	2.7 %
perceptron	5.9 %
support vector machines	4.0 %